



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. Box 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DEC 27 2004

MAILED

DEC 27 2004

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR
TC 3800

Samuel W. Apicelli, Esquire
Duane Morris LLP
305 North Front Street
P.O. Box 1003
Harrisburg, PA 17108-1003

In re application of	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Per A. Enevoldsen	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL
Application No. 10/623,641	:	(INFRINGEMENT)
Filed: July 21, 2003	:	
For: NECKLACES AND BRACELETS	:	
WITH KEEPERS	:	

This is a decision on the petition under 37 C.F.R § 1.102(d) filed August 29, 2003 to make the above-identified application special.

The petition requests that the above-identified application be made special under the procedure set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, item II: Infringement.


MPEP 708.02 states that a Petition to Make Special based on Infringement must have the following: (1) the appropriate petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h); (2) a statement by the assignee, applicant, or attorney alleging: (A) that there is an infringing device or product actually on the market or method in use; (B) that a rigid comparison of the alleged infringing device, product or method with the claims of the application has been made, and that, in his or her opinion, some of the claims are unquestionably infringed; and (C) that he or she has made a careful and thorough search of the prior art, or has good knowledge of the prior art, and has sent a copy of the references deemed most closely related to the subject matter encompassed by the claims.

The petition filed August 29, 2003 meets all of the requirements above and, therefore, the petition is **GRANTED**.

In compliance with element 2(C) above, an Information Disclosure Statement was filed on April 13, 2004.

The examiner is directed (1) to make an interference search for possible interfering applications; (2) to promptly examine this application out of turn; and (3) if any interfering application is discovered, to examine such application simultaneously and state in the first official letter of such application that it is being taken out of turn because of a possible interference.

Petitioner is advised that this application will continue to be special, throughout its entire prosecution and pendency, including interference and appeal, if any, only if petitioner makes a prompt *bona fide* effort, in response to each Office action, to place the application in condition for allowance, even if it is necessary to conduct an interview with the examiner to accomplish this purpose.


Randolph A. Reese
Special Programs Examiner
Technology Center 3600
(703) 308-2121

RAR/rwg: 10/21/04

CC:

William R. Hansen, Esq.
Lathrop & Gage
230 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10169